	Case 1:23-cv-01214-JLT-SAB Documer	nt 56 Filed 04/23/24 Page 1 of 1
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	THOMAS K. MILLS,	No. 1:23-cv-01214-JLT-SAB (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANTS' ANSWER
12	v.	(ECF No. 54)
13	ZACHERY JONES, et al.	(Let 110. 34)
14	Defendants.	
15		I
16	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42	
17	U.S.C. § 1983.	
18	On March 11, 2024, Defendants filed an answer to the complaint. (ECF No. 44.)	
19	On April 22, 2024, Plaintiff filed a reply to Defendants' answer. (ECF No. 54.)	
20	Plaintiff is advised that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contemplate a reply to the	
21	answer only when ordered by the court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(C). Since Plaintiff was not	
22	ordered to reply to the Answer, his reply is stricken from the record.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		Just A. Lace
25	Dated: April 23, 2024	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		